Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 13154

MEPA Analyst ick Zavolas

Phone: 617-626-1030

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

ne provisione en	no maccachacette En		• • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Project Name: BFI Pittsfield Solid Waste Transfer Station								
Street:	Laurel Street							
	Pittsfield		Watersh	ied:	Housato	nic	-	
Universal Tran	verse Mercator Cod	ordinates:	Latitude	:	42° 27' 2	24" N		
N 4702039 E 647363 Zone 18			Longitude: - 73° 12' 26" W					
Estimated con	Estimated completion date: Feb 2005							
Approximate cost: \$2,500,000			Status o	f proje	ect desig	n:	20%	complete
Proponent: BFI of Massachusetts, Inc.								
	845 Burnett Road					<u>. </u>		
Municipality:			State:				01020	
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Daniel N. Courcy, Project Manager								
Firm/Agency:			Street:	48 Le	ona Driv	e, Su	ite C	
Municipality:			State:	MA	Zip C	ode:	02346	
Phone:	(508) 923-0879	Fax: (508)	923-0894	1 E-	mail: de	courcy	y@brwn	cald.com
Has this project	ct meet or exceed a not been filed with MEP	☐\ A before? ☐\ d with MEPA	res res (EOE/	A No			⊠No ⊠No ⊠No	
Is this an Expar a Single EIR? a Special Rev a Waiver of m a Phase I Wai			tis Reque	ested)	□No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No			
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): Not Applicable								
Are you reques	ting coordinated revie	ew with any o	ther feder	al, stat)	e, region ⊠No	al, or l	ocal age	псу?
City of Pittsfiel Water and/o Federal: NPDF	ederal Permits and Ap d: Site Assignment, S r Sewer Permits or Ap ES Multi-Sector Gene r from Construction A	Special Permi pprovals, as r ral Permit for	ecessary.					

Which ENF or EIR review thresh	old(s) does th	e project me	et or exceed	(see 301 CMR 11.03):				
□ Land □ Rare Species □ Water □ Wastewater □ Energy □ Air □ ACEC □ Regulations			 Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands ☐ Transportation ☑ Solid & Hazardous Waste ☐ Historical & Archaeological Resources 					
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &				
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals				
L	☐ Order of Conditions☐ Superseding Order of							
Total site acreage	24.3			Conditions				
New acres of land altered		6.0 Approx		Chapter 91 License 401 Water Quality				
Acres of impervious area	0.4 Approx	2.6 Approx	3.0 Approx	Certification MHD or MDC Access				
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		Permit Water Management				
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		Act Permit New Source Approval DEP or MWRA				
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit Other Permits				
STRU	STRUCTURES (including Legislative							
Gross square footage	0	16,000 SF	16,000 SF	Approvals) – Specify:				
Number of housing units	0	0	0	Site Suitability (310 CMR 16.00)				
Maximum height (in feet)	0	38 approx	38 Approx	Authorization to Construct				
TRANSI	PORTATIO	N		(310 CMR 19.000) Authorization to Operate				
Vehicle trips per day	0	130-166	130-166	(310 CMR 19.000)				
Parking spaces	0	12 Approx	12 Approx	Limited Plan Approval (310 CMR 7.00)				
WATER/W	ASTEWAT	ER						
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	1,500 Max	1,500 Max					
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0					
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	1,500 Max	1,500 Max					
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0					
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pro- resources to any purpose not in acco	rdance with Art ervation restrict	icle 97?) tion, preservati	⊠No					

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Ha	abitat of	Rare Species, Vernai Pools, Priority Sites of
Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		
Yes (Specify)	⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does to	he projed	ct site include any structure, site or district listed
in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of His	storic and	d Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
Yes (Specify		⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction resources?		isted or inventoried historic or archaeological
Yes (Specify)	⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is to	the proje	ct in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?		_
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include **(a)** a description of the project site, **(b)** a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and **(c)** potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

BFI of Massachusetts, Inc. of Chicopee, Massachusetts, is proposing to construct and operate a solid waste and recyclable transfer facility at a site off of Merrill Road and Laurel Street in Pittsfield, Massachusetts. BFI currently conducts curbside pick of recyclable materials in the City of Pittsfield and delivers the materials. BFI also transfers waste and recyclables from towns in the surrounding region for disposal or reuse. The proposed facility will enable BFI to centralize the delivery of waste and recyclables within the region and transfer the outgoing waste loads via rail, which allows BFI to efficiently move the waste over greater distances at lower costs per ton mile, while reducing truck trips on the local roadways and reducing overall air emissions. The proposed facility will include a 16,000 square foot waste transfer building with separate sections for waste and recyclable materials and a storage and maintenance area for site vehicles and equipment. The facility will also incorporate a scale and scalehouse, which will include a site office and sanitary facilities for the employees and vehicle drivers. BFI intends to accept 300 tons per day of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) at the facility.

The proposed site for the facility is located on a 23.4 acre parcel of land in between the intersection of two sets of railroad tracks, approximately 1,100 feet southeast of the intersection of Merrill Road and Laurel Street, and is referred to as Adams Junction on USGS maps (see Figure 1). The site has been used in the past as a railway storage and maintenance area, but has fallen into disrepair over the years. The site is referred to on the local tax maps as Map #L11, Block #4, Lot #11. The East Branch of the Housatonic River borders the site property to the east. Unkamet Brook, a small tributary which feeds into the Housatonic River, crosses the site from the north to the southeast corner of the property, effectively splitting the property into two separate sections. The closed and capped Pittsfield Municipal Landfill is located to the east and south east of the site on the eastern side of the Housatonic River. The proposed site and surrounding properties are in areas zoned as general industrial, which is consistent with this type of facility.

No-Build Alternative

In the event that the facility is not constructed, the waste collection and transport operations in the City would continue as they currently operate, without the beneficial effects of the proposed facility. Waste and recyclables collected in the City would continue to be brought directly to disposal and reuse facilities in New York and around Springfield, thus preventing the increase in efficiency and reduction in truck traffic anticipated if the proposed facility is constructed.

Waste Transfer by Trailer Alternative

The proposed facility can be constructed without the use of rail for waste transport, if necessary. This option, however, would limit the efficiency of the waste transport process and would not greatly change the current waste collection operations within the City, except to allow a centralized location for waste transport by collection vehicles. Waste vehicles would collect the waste and recyclable materials and deliver them to the facility for transfer to New York or the Springfield area in larger trucks. This option would decrease the overall truck traffic in the region when compared to the No-Build Alternative, but would increase the number of vehicles entering and leaving the site, as well as on the surrounding roads, when compared to the project as proposed (with rail transfer).

Alternative Facility Location Alternative

Alternative locations for the proposed transfer station were investigated in the Pittsfield area by BFI over the past two years. These locations were systematically ruled out for various reasons, including dissimilar land uses in the area, lack of viable road and railway access, and insufficient size for operations.

The currently proposed location is ideal for the project since it is in a general industrial zone, is secluded from local streets and buildings, and will make functional use of a currently degraded site. Additionally, the traffic and emission impacts from the proposed facility will be minimal in Pittsfield and will improve in the region by reducing the number of trucks on the roads.